

CABINET

Tuesday, 2 May 2017 at 5.30 p.m.

PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY QUESTIONS

PAGE NUMBER

4.1 Chair's Advice of Key Issues or Questions

Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee (OSC) to report on any issues **1 - 4** raised by the OSC in relation to unrestricted business to be considered.

This page is intentionally left blank

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS Pre-Decision Question - Overview and Scrutiny Committee – 2 May 2017

Cabinet Report	Question / Response
5 .3 Approval to proceed with guidance on new rent evels	 Question 1. What rents will Tower Hamlets Housing Benefit Society and the Wholly Owned Company now charge in regards to this decision?
	Response
	The Committee are referring to the two housing delivery vehicles approved by the Mayor in February namely Mulberry Housing Society (a Community Benefit Society) and Seahorse Homes Limited (a Wholly Owned Company).
	The relevant Cabinet report in February proposed that: (1) Mulberry Housing Society will let sub-market affordable rented homes. This could comprise a range of rent levels including both London Affordable Rent and Tower Hamlets Living Rent. It is likely that, in line with the Affordability Commission recommendations, the preferred mix will be an equal spli between LAR and THLR, subject to viability, in line with the proposals put before Cabinet on 2 May.
	(2) Seahorse Homes Limited will let market rented and intermediate rented homes. This could comprise a range of rent levels, both within and exceeding Local Housing Allowance levels. Whils having a commercial purpose and seeking to maximise return on investment, it is likely that the company will agree a rents and lettings policy that incorporates an affordability assessment o prospective tenants to ensure that the market or intermediate rent levels adopted are affordable to each individual at the point of letting.
	Where the two delivery vehicles are bringing forward new build housing development proposals, they will be subject to the same guidance and negotiation on rent levels as other developers, whethe registered housing providers or private development companies.

Appendix A

	Cabinet Report	Question / Response
	5 .4 Approval of S106 Funding to Cycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project	 Question 1. Under the new transparency drive for Section 106 funding, how will ward councillors be informed of improvements to their ward?
	2017	Response The Infrastructure Delivery Framework (IDF) has established an open and transparent process for decision making regarding the funding of infrastructure projects. As with all decisions made by Cabinet, this information is available online before and after the Cabinet meetings.
		The decision made through the IDF approves the allocation of funding to a project which then becomes part of the Council's Capital Programme. As work on individual project design and delivery proceeds, it is expected that local ward members are informed / consulted on projects in their area as is appropriate.
J		Question2. What consultation has happened with ward councillor as of the decision on this paper?
		Response In the particular case of the Cycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project 2017, the majority of the 19 included projects are relatively minor and will have little impact on changes to the way the street operates – so information shared is more likely through notification of works. Where there is scope for more significant design changes then a wider public consultation would be carried out, including local ward members.
		While short briefing notes and a plan provide sufficient information on small localised projects, the introduction of Commonplace consultation software on area-wide projects has allowed a much better level of engagement to be achieved: far more detail of schemes and their justification can be provided in text and with interactive plans which allow residents to comment on the proposals and add other comments on specific locations. Used so far on four areas within Stepney and Old Ford Road, the webbased process has generated a much higher proportion of responses, and allowed a picture of emerging responses to be analysed quickly and reported back to local Members.

Appendix A

	Cabinet Report	Question / Response
		Question 3. How will you ensure that ward councillors are better engaged going forward?
		Response It is important that engagement is undertaken at the right time in the process of developing projects, when the necessary level of detail is available to make the engagement worthwhile. At the IDF approval stage, there is often only summary information available on the project, as detailed options testing and design is not undertaken until there is some assurance that funding will be available to support the resulting project. The PID template includes a section on stakeholder engagement. Presenting Service Areas can be encouraged to briefly detail engagement to date in this section so it can be monitored through the IDF
Page 3		Question 4. What if any steps are in place to make this data available through our open data platform?
		Response Officers are engaged with work on the open data platform to understand how this system may be useful across the IDF process. To date no formal steps have been agreed.

This page is intentionally left blank